



A. J. HEINZ

Applicarit(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2652

M. MIK ET AL



Interview Summary

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>A. J. HEINZ</u>	(3)
(2) MS. MAGDELENA MIK	(4)
Date of Interview 14 Aug 2001	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 3, 9, and 15	
Identification of prior art discussed: LANGHANS	
any other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or E' CODING/INFORMATION FOR COMPUTING THE ACCOUNT
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE BOTH OF INTERVIEW requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

A. J. HEINZ PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2652